Design of Anti-Angiogenic Peptidomimetics and Evaluation their Biological Activity by *In Vitro* Assays

Mona Ghadam¹, Soroush Sardari^{1*}, Mohammad Ali Shokrgozar^{2*}, and Mahdiyeh Sadat Mahdavi¹

1. Department of Medical Biotechnology, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran 2. National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: One of the important therapeutic approaches in cancer field is development of compounds which can block the initial tumor growth and the progression of tumor metastasis with no side effects. Thus, the recent study was carried out to design anti-VEGFR2-peptidomimetics as the most significant factor of angiogenesis process- and evaluate their biological activity by *in vitro* assays.

Methods: We designed anti-VEGFR2 peptidomimetics with anti-angiogenic activity, including compound P (lactam derivative) and compound T (indole derivative) by using in silico methods. Then, the inhibitory activity on angiogenesis was evaluated by using angiogenesis specific assays such as Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cell (HUVEC) proliferation, tube formation in Matrigel, MTT and Real-Time PCR. IC50 values of the compounds were also determined by cytotoxicity plot in MTT assay.

Results: Compounds P and T inhibited HUVEC cell proliferation and viability in a dose-dependent manner. The IC50 for compound T and compound P in HUVEC cell line were 113 and 115 $\mu g/ml$, respectively. Tube formation assay revealed that both compounds can inhibit angiogenesis effectively. The results of Real-Time PCR also showed these compounds are able to inhibit the expression of *CD31* gene in HUVEC cell line.

Conclusion: Our study suggested that compounds P and T may act as therapeutic molecules, or lead compounds for development of angiogenesis inhibitors in VEGF-related diseases.

Avicenna J Med Biotech 2020; 12(2): 91-98

Keywords: Angiogenesis Inhibitors, Drug design, Peptidomimetic, Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

Introduction

Angiogenesis or development of blood vessels ^{1,2} happens in physiological conditions such as wound healing. This process is resulted through coordination of different pro- and anti-angiogenic factors ³. In other words, the balance among these growth factors is missed in pathological conditions like rheumatoid arthritis, and especially cancers ^{4,5}. Among these factors, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) has gained a reputation for its outstanding role in angiogenesis. In fact, the interaction between VEGF and KDR (VEGF receptor-2) is considered as the most significant part in the process ⁶⁻¹¹.

Several chemical or molecular approaches have been suggested for targeting VEGF-VEGF receptor interaction- such as ATP mimetics, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, antibodies, peptides and receptor blocking agents ¹²⁻¹⁵. In the area of peptides, ST100 and LPPHSS have been

identified as anti-VEGFR2 peptides ^{7,16}. Furthermore, some peptides have been identified, which inhibit the binding of VEGF to its receptors in Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) 17. Peptides are costeffective and safe compared with monoclonal antibodies ¹⁸⁻²⁰. Peptides have several advantages- such as high penetration into tissues and increased bioavailability ¹⁹. However, these peptides are faced with some limitations- such as high susceptibility to proteasomal degradation ²⁰. As an alternative, pseudo peptides and modified peptides that are used as peptidomimetics. The resistance of peptidomimetics to proteasomal degradation is higher than peptides, which results in increase of peptidomimetics bioavailability ²¹⁻²⁴. Thus, peptidomimetics are considered as suitable candidates for new generation of therapeutic compounds, which have a variety of advantages- such as being water soluble,

* Corresponding authors: Soroush Sardari, Ph.D., Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

Mohammad Ali Shokrgozar, Ph.D., National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

Tel: +98 9122632484 E-mail: ssardari@hotmail.com; mashokrgozar@pasteur.ac.ir Received: 22 Apr 2019 Accepted: 25 Nov 2019 non-immunogenic, increased selectivity, capability to cross tissue barriers, decreased side effects and toxicity compared with peptides ²⁵.

Design of peptidomimetics and evaluation their biological activity have been reported by various research groups. For instance, Moradi *et al*, designed antifungal indole and pyrrolidine-2, 4-dione derivative peptidomimetic against *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fungi. The authors observed the structure C2 has a potent antifungal activity and could be used as a template for designing antifungal peptidomemetics.

Computation and bioinformatics has become a key aspect of drug discovery and contributing to both target discovery and validation. Bioinformatics will continue to play an important role in response to the waves of genome-wide data sources- including Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs), microbial genome sequences, model organism sequences, polymorphisms, gene expression data and proteomics ²⁶. However, such knowledge sources must be integrated in future. The bioinformatics tools can be used to discover the peptidomimetics ^{26,27}. Among these tools, Super-Mimic software identifies compounds that mimic parts of a protein. In a short statement, Super-Mimic provides libraries that contain peptidomimetic building blocks in one hand and protein structures on the other hand. The search for promising peptidomimetics for target peptide is based on the superposition of the peptide with several conformers of the mimetic. This search results in a list of peptidemimetics, the position within the protein where the mimetic could be inserted, and also the conformation of the mimetic that fits the best ²⁶.

Since, tumor growth, progression, and metastasis are severely influenced by generation of pro-angiogenic VEGF, promising anti-angiogenic drugs are important and currently available; however, their susceptibilities to drug resistance and long term toxicity are serious obstacles to their use. As a result, we require the development of novel therapeutic approaches for effective and safe angiogenic inhibitors. The current study, was carried out to design anti-VEGFR peptidomimetics and evaluate their biological activity by *in vitro* assays- such as tube formation, HUVEC proliferation and the gene expression of CD31 (Real-Time PCR) in HUVEC cell line.

Materials and Methods

Collection of anti-angiogenesis peptides

In the current study, several anti-VEGFR2 peptides were collected from previous studies (Table 1), and their amino acid sequences were used as the input data for sequence alignment and to identify the common amino acid sequences among them $^{7,28-32}$.

Sequence alignments

T-Coffee V 5.13, multiple sequence alignment tool, was employed for sequence alignment of anti-VEGFR2 peptides ³³. In this way, the various sets of sequence

Table 1. The result of multiple sequence alignment of anti-VEGFR2
peptides (Binétruy-Tournaire et al, Vicari et al, Selwood et al, Kim et
al, Garcia-Aranda et al)

Peptide number	Peptide sequences
1	<mark>AT</mark> S <mark>LPP</mark> HSSQSP
8	RRR
18	<mark>AT</mark> WLPPRA
2	ITMQCGIHQGQHPKIRMICEMSF
9	WFI
16	CVNHPAFACGYG <mark>HTMY</mark> <mark>YHHYQHHL</mark>
17	<mark>YHHYQHHL</mark>
4	QK <mark>RKRKKSRY</mark> <mark>KSWSVP</mark>
7	<mark>R</mark> <mark>KKSRY</mark> <mark>KSWSVP</mark>
5	RKRKKSR
6	QK <mark>RKRKKSRY</mark> <mark>KS-</mark>
3	R <mark>R KR</mark> R <mark>R</mark>
13	SCKNTDSRCKARQLELNERTCRCDKPRR
14	SCKNTDSRCKARQLELNERTCRCDKPRR
15	CSCKNTDSRCKARQLELNERTCRC
10	K <mark>VYQ</mark>
12	EVVKFME <mark>VYQ</mark>
11	EVVKFMCE <mark>VYQ</mark> KSY

alignments were carried out in order to find a better homology among anti-VEGFR2 peptide sequences. After sequence alignment, peptides with more homology in sequence were selected to extract the final patterns among each group (Table 1). In the following, peptidomimetic structures were designed based on the final pattern obtained from sequence alignment using T-coffee, in which, scores are based on colors; positions that have no consistency with the in-house peptide library are in blue, a little in green, better positions in red, and finally yellow. The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to discover final pattern from sequences alignments.

Mimetic design

SuperMimic software provides a library of peptidomimetic structures which have been arranged in sub-libraries such as beta-turn or gamma-turn mimetics. In this study, SuperMimic was used to replace RKRKKSR with peptidomimetics structures which are in SuperMimic library. Among designed peptidomimetics, two structures were selected with lower than 9×10^{-3} Å Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) of the backbone atoms and more similarity in chemical nature to the backbone and their side chains (Figure 1) ²⁶.

Drug preparation and dilution

Compound P and compound T were purchased from Jaber-ibn-Hayan and Sigma companies, respectively. These two compounds were diluted in water and DMEM medium at concentrations range of 25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 $\mu g/ml$.

Cells culture condition and reagents

Adherent cell line of HUVEC was provided from Department of Cell Bank, Pasteur Institute of Iran. The cells were cultured in media consisting Dulbecco's



Figure 1. The structure of compound P (lactam derivative) and compound T (indole derivative).

Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), penicillin (100 *U/ml*), and streptomycin (100 $\mu g/ml$) in 37°*C* at 5% CO₂. In the following, the cells were passaged using 0.25% trypsin, and the medium was refreshed every 3 days. Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) was used as reference compound of angiogenesis inhibitor, in the following *in vitro* assays.

MTT viability assay

To evaluate the cytotoxicity of the compound P and compound T, MTT assay was utilized in this research. In MTT assay, HUVEC cell line was treated with increasing doses of compounds P and T. To achieve this goal, HUVEC,10⁴ cells, were plated in 96-well flatbottom plates and incubated for 24 hr at $37^{\circ}C^{-7,28}$. Then, 50 μl of these compounds were added to each well of HUVEC cell line at concentrations rangs of 25, 50, and 100/ml. After 24 hr treatment, the medium was replaced with 100 µl of MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) and was incubated for 4 hr in the dark conditions. After incubation, MTT solution was removed. Then, 100 μl of isopropanol was added to each well and sample was incubated for additional 30 min in the dark. In final, the reactive product was measured at 570 nm with a reference absorbance in 630 nm by using an ELISA reader (Organon Teknika, Netherlands). The experiments were repeated three times.

To obtaining IC_{50} for compound P and compound T, the cytotoxicity was calculated at different doses by the following formula:

$$Cytotoxicity = 1 - \frac{Mean absorbance of toxicant}{Mean absorbance of negative control}$$

In the following, Graphpad Instat software, version 3 was used to determine IC_{50} for compound P and compound T.

Capillary-like tube formation assay

The *in vitro* tube formation assay was made to discover the VEGF neutralizing effects of compound P and compound T. In this assay, Matrigel was put at $4^{\circ}C$ for overnight and then was diluted with equal volume

of serum-free EBM-2 medium, for the final concentration of Matrigel as 5 mg/ml. Next, each well of 96-well plates were coated with 50 μl diluted Matrigel and were incubated at room temperature for 45 min 7,28. The starved HUVEC cells $(1 \times 10^4 \text{ cells well}^{-1})$ were plated in EBM-2 medium supplemented with 0.1% charcoal-stripped FBS, and then were treated with peptidomimetics at IC₅₀ concentration (IC₅₀ for compound T and compound P was 113 and 115 $\mu g/ml$, respectively) in the presence or absence of VEGF (50 ng/ml). VEGF and PBS were utilized as positive and negative control, respectively. Tubular structures were imaged and counted after 12 hr by Fluorescence microscopy (N800F model) under magnification 40X. The tubule structures in turn were scored by sprout formation counting.

Quantitative real-time PCR

In the recent study, Quantitative Real-Time PCR was employed to determine whether the two compounds could inhibit the expression of CD31 gene (endothelial cell marker) in HUVEC cells or not. CD31 gene was selected because it was known as its expression is a marker of angiogenesis in HUVEC cells. Vitamin C and free angiogenesis inhibitor were used as the positive and negative controls. In this assay, total RNA extracted and purified by using RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen, USA). The extracted RNA was diluted with 30 μl RNAse-free water and was quantified by spectrophotometer instrument (NanoDrop, Eppendorf, Germany). In the following, one-strand DNA was generated by using Prime Script RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Japan). Gene Runner v. 3.05, Primer Express v. 2.5 and Beacon Designer v. 7.5 were used to design CD31 gene primer. The primer sequences were included F: 5'-TCAAGCCTCAGCACCAGA-3' and R: 5'-GCAC TCCTTCCACCAACAC-3'. Real-Time PCR was conducted through SYBR Premix Ex Taq II master mix (TaKaRa, Japan) on a one-step instrument (Applied Bio systems, USA). Specific primers for GAPDH, as endogenous control, were included F: 5'-GAGTCCAC TGGCGTCTTCA-3' and R: 5'-TCTTGAGGCTGTT GTCATACTTC-3'. The thermal conditions for amplification were 95 % for 15S as holding time, 95 % for 5S and 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 30S in each cycle. Fluorescence was collected in annealing-extension time in each cycle. Melting curve analysis was carried out in three steps: 95 $^{\circ}$ C, 60 $^{\circ}$ C and stepwise heated to 95 $^{\circ}$ C with a ramp rate of 0.3 °C. In final, data was analyzed by using $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

Statistical analyses

Graphpad Instat V3.00, was used for analyzing of data in MTT experiments. Comparison of groups was performed using t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using SPSS V20.00. The results from the assays were expressed as the mean±SEM from three independent experiments. The level of statistical significance was set on 0.05.

Designing Anti-Angiogenic Peptidomimetics

 Table 2. Two-way ANOVA of CD31 gene expression in the negative and positive controls and samples treated with compound T and compound P

Statistical analysis of gene expression	Significant difference
Comparison of negative control samples with all concentrations of the two compounds	0.00
Comparison of positive control samples with all concentrations of the two compounds	0.00
Comparison of P with T at various concentrations of 50, 100 and 200	0.00

Results

Collection of peptides and multiple sequence alignment

In the recent research, a total of 18 anti-VEGFR2 peptides were collected from previous studies (Table 1) ^{7,28-32}. Multiple sequence alignment revealed RKRK-KSR as the final peptide pattern. As seen in table 1, there are several arginine and lysine acid amines in this peptide pattern.

Peptidomimetics design

The final peptide pattern, RKRKKSR, was obtained from 18 anti-angiogenic peptides that indicated more sequence homology to each other with high score (Table 2). The mimetic design-mediated pattern was used in a similarity search tool, namely PubChem, to find structures close to it. Finally, a lactam derivative [(2S, 5R, 6R)-3, 3-dimethyl-7-oxo-6-[(2-phenylacetyl) amino]-4-thia-1-azabicyclo [3.2.0] heptane-2-carboxylic acid] and an indole derivative [(2S)-2-amino-3-(1Hindol-3-yl) propanoic acid], were selected and used as compound P and compound T in this study (Figure 1).

MTT assay

As shown in figure 2, the viability of the cells decreased after exposure to both compounds in a dosedependent manner. The IC₅₀ values for compound T and compound P in HUVEC cell line were 113 and 115 $\mu g/ml$, respectively. MTT assay showed a significant difference between T25 and control, T50 and control, T100 and control, P25 and control, P50 and control, as well as P100 and control. Dose response curves of MTT assay for compounds T and P, at different doses 25, 50, 100 $\mu g/ml$ are presented in figure 3.

Tube formation assay

As shown in figure 3, a decrease in the sprout points and tube formation was observed in VEGF-treated HUVEC after treatments with both compounds (Figure 4A and B). Tube formation was dependent on VEGF, where a network of tubes with several sprout points was more obvious in the VEGF-treated HUVEC than the VEGF-non-treated HUVEC (Figure 4C). Significant effect was not observed for negative control (PBS



Figure 3. Dose response curve of MTT assay for compounds T and P, at different doses 25, 50, $100 \ \mu g/ml$.

without VEGF) (Figure 4D). As a result, it was revealed that both compounds can inhibit angiogenesis, effectively.

Real time PCR

Expression of *CD31* gene decreased dramatically at concentrations 50, 100, 200, 400 $\mu g/ml$ of compound T in comparison to negative control (p<0.01), and positive control (p<0.05) (Figure 5, Table 2). Furthermore, it was observed a decrease in expression of *CD31* gene after treating cells with compound P in different doses, in comparison to negative and positive controls (p<0.01). However, significant difference was not observed among concentrations 50, 100, 200 $\mu g/ml$ of compound P (Figure 5). Interestingly, there is a significant difference (p<0.01) between both compounds in different concentrations (50, 100, 200 $\mu g/ml$) (Figure 5). The melting curve of real-time PCR of *CD31* gene expression of *CD31* gene expression (*CD31* gene expression)



Figure 2. The results of MTT assay for compounds T and P by using HUVEC cells. HUVEC cells were treated with compounds T and P, at concentrations 25, 50, 100 $\mu g/ml$ for 24 *hr*, and then cell cytotoxicity was evaluated with MTT assay. The IC₅₀ for compound T and compound P in HUVEC cell line was 113 and 115 $\mu g/ml$, respectively. The bars represent the standard error for the mean of three replicates.

Avicenna Journal of Medical Biotechnology, Vol. 12, No. 2, April-June 2020



Figure 4. The level of decrease in sprout points for anti-angiogenesis compounds. The compound P and compound T were able to inhibit tube formation, as demonstrated by the decrease in sprout points in Matrigel (A and B). No significant effect was observed with negative control (PBS without VEGF) (D). VEGF treatment, as positive control, induces formation of capillary tube (C). The best inhibitory effect was demonstrated by compound T, where the level of decrease in sprout points was similar to VEGF-non treated cells (B).

sion in the various concentrations of the two compounds P (A) and T (B) is presented in figure 6.

The results of Real-Time PCR showed that, in all concentrations, the inhibitory effect of T compound on the CD31 expression is greater than that of P compound. We achieved some interesting results experimentally. In different doses of P and T, the expression of CD31 gene decreased with increasing concentrations of both compounds. According to the statistical analysis, we conclude that T compound significantly reduced the expression of the CD31 gene compared with the control sample (C vitamin). Regarding these points, T compound is a more suitable candidate for angiogenesis control.

Discussion

In the cancer researches, VEGF is known as a key modulator for angiogenesis process. Thus, inhibiting the interaction VEGF and its receptor through antagonistic peptides is an effective and useful anti-angiogenic therapy ³⁴. The studies on this item resulted in identification of important amino acids, which are involved in VEGF and its receptor interaction. Coupling this information with Alanine-scan analysis resulted in development of potent drug candidates with anti-angiogenic activity ³⁴. Recently, researchers revealed the CPQPRPLC as a sequence targeting VEGFR1. In this way, the RPL shorter peptide was recognized as minimal sequence required for activity ³⁵. In addition, it has been identified a peptide derived from *VEGF* gene, which involved in binding to HUVEC surface ³⁶. This

20 amino acid peptide showed an inhibitory activity against cell migration and tumor growth in lung cancer.

In the current study, our efforts to design anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics resulted in finding two different compounds, namely P and T, by using in silico analysis ³⁷. We first used MTT test to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the compounds. As a result of applying various concentrations of drugs, we detected 50% as 115 and 113 for compound P and compound T, respectively. We also conclude that these drug leads do not have a very toxic effect on HUVEC cells at certain doses. Therefore, these compounds can be considered as a treatment option, if they can inhibit target gene. In this regards, we used the results of this test to design real-time PCR. To do this test, according to real-time PCR analysis, it can be concluded that for concentrations above IC₅₀ for compound T, there is no significant difference in inhibiting gene expression, because inhibition of gene expression has taken place completely in concentrations equal to or greater than IC_{50} . By comparing the results of both compounds, we found that at all concentrations, T compound significantly reduced CD31 gene expression compared with P compound. Comparison of gene expression in three different concentrations of each drug with negative control sample also showed that there was a significant difference in all concentrations in compared with negative control. Since toxicity of compound T is less, and also reduced CD31 gene expression more, T compound seems to be more suitable candidate for angiogenesis control in cancers conditions.

Similar to our study, a number of researches have also reported some successes on design of anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics. Foy *et al* ³⁸, for instance, designed peptidomemetics against VEGF. The authors indicated that peptidomemetics discovered can induce anti-tumor responses *in vitro* and *in vivo* ³⁸. Foy *et al* ³⁹ and Behelgardi *et al* ⁴⁰ also demonstrated that the VEGF peptidomemetics can induce anti-angiogenic responses, effectively. Binétruy-Tournaire *et al* ¹⁶ screened a phage epitope library by affinity for an anti-VEGF neutralizing monoclonal antibody, and isolated peptides binding KDR specifically. Among synthetic peptides, ATWLPPR totally abolished VEGF binding to cell-displayed KDR. *In vitro*, this effect resulted in





Designing Anti-Angiogenic Peptidomimetics



Figure 6. The melting curve of real-time PCR of *CD31* gene expression in the various concentrations of the two compounds P (A) and T (B).

inhibition of VEGF-mediated proliferation of HUVECs in a dose-dependent manner, and endothelial cells in a type-specific manner. *In vivo*, ATWLPPR completely abolished VEGF-induced angiogenesis in rabbit model. As a result, the authors suggested ATWLPPR is an effective antagonist of VEGF binding, and this peptide can be a suitable inhibitor of tumor angiogenesis ¹⁶. In agreement with our findings, their results revealed that designing of anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics by using SuperMimic, and evaluation their biological activity by *in vitro* assays can be used to find inhibitors of tumor angiogenesis.

Vicari *et al*, designed peptides to mimic VEGFbinding site to its receptor VEGFR-2. The VEGF peptide mimic, VEGF-P3 (CYC), showed the highest affinity to VEGFR-2 by surface plasmon resonance assay. In addition, in several angiogenic in vitro assays, the authors observed that all VEGF mimics inhibited endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and network formation with the conformational VEGF-P3 (CYC) being the best. Similarly, their findings demonstrated that the structure-based design is important for development of anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics ¹⁰. Kim *et al*, ²⁸ also developed MAP2-dRK6 peptide with high anti-tumor and anti-VEGF activity. MAP2-dRK6 peptide was effective in many respects- such as inhibition of VEGF binding to its receptors, VEGF-induced migration, ERK signaling of endothelial cells, and tube formation of endothelial cells. In addition, MAP2-dRK6 blocks growth of VEGF-secreting colorectal cancer cells *in vivo* by suppression of angiogenesis ²⁸. In agreement with our findings, their results revealed that anti-angiogenic peptidomimetics can be used as lead compound or therapeutic molecule for development of drugs for VEGF-related angiogenic diseases ⁴¹. ⁴².

Conclusion

Since, angiogenesis is severely influenced by proangiogenic VEGF, promising anti-angiogenic drugs are important and currently available; however, their susceptibilities to drug resistance and long term toxicity are serious obstacles to their use. As a result, we require the development of novel therapeutic approaches for effective and safe angiogenic inhibitors. We designed anti-VEGFR peptidomimetics and evaluated their biological activity by in vitro assays. Compounds P and T inhibited HUVEC cells proliferation and viability in a dose-dependent manner. The IC₅₀ for compound T and compound P in HUVEC cell line was 113 and 115 $\mu g/ml$, respectively. Tube formation assay revealed that both compounds can inhibit angiogenesis effectively. The results of Real-Time PCR also showed these compounds are able to inhibit the expression of CD31 gene in HUVEC cell line. In summary, our results revealed that compound P (lactam derivative) and compound T (indole derivative) have anti-angiogenic activity and can be used for further studies in order to discovery and design anti-angiogenesis compounds.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratitude to our cell bank lab supervisor, Mr Mohammad Majidi, who guided me throughout this project. I would also like to thank my family and friends who supported me and offered deep insight into the study.

References

- Ferrara N. Vascular endothelial growth factor as a target for anticancer therapy. Oncologist 2004;9 Suppl 1:2-10.
- Folkman J. What is the evidence that tumors are angiogenesis dependent? J Natl Cancer Inst 1990;82 (1):4-6.
- Kieran MW, Kalluri R, Cho YJ. The VEGF pathway in cancer and disease: responses, resistance, and the path forward. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2012;2(12): a006593.
- Liotta LA, Steeg PS, Stetler-Stevenson WG. Cancer metastasis and angiogenesis: an imbalance of positive and negative regulation. Cell 1991;64(2):327-336.

- Aiello LP, Pierce EA, Foley ED, Takagi H, Chen H, Riddle L, et al. Suppression of retinal neovascularization in vivo by inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) using soluble VEGF-receptor chimeric proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;92(23):10457-10461.
- Yang J, Yan J, Liu B. Targeting VEGF/VEGFR to modulate antitumor immunity. Front Iimmunol 2018;9: 978.
- Rastelli L, Valentino ML, Minderman MC, Landin J, Malyankar UM, Lescoe MK, et al. A KDR-binding peptide (ST100,059) can block angiogenesis, melanoma tumor growth and metastasis in vitro and in vivo. Int J Oncol 2011;39(2):401-408.
- Kristensen T, Knutsson M, Wehland M, Laursen B E, Grimm D, Warnke E, et al. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy in breast cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2014;15(12):23024-23041.
- Zhu Z, Witte L. Inhibition of tumor growth and metastasis by targeting tumor-associated angiogenesis with antagonists to the receptors of vascular endothelial growth factor. Invest New Drugs 1999;17(3):195-212.
- Vicari D, Foy KC, Liotta EM, Kaumaya PT. Engineered conformation-dependent VEGF peptide mimics are effective in inhibiting VEGF signaling pathways. J Biol Chem 2011;286(15):13612-13625.
- Lu PY, Xie FY, Woodle MC. Modulation of angiogenesis with siRNA inhibitors for novel therapeutics. Trends Mol Med 2005;11(3):104-113.
- 12. Morabito A, Piccirillo MC, Falasconi F, De Feo G, Del Giudice A, Bryce J, et al. Vandetanib (ZD6474), a dual inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinases: current status and future directions. Oncologist 2009;14(4):378-390.
- Borgström P, Hillan KJ, Sriramarao P, Ferrara N. Complete inhibition of angiogenesis and growth of microtumors by anti-vascular endothelial growth factor neutralizing antibody: novel concepts of angiostatic therapy from intravital videomicroscopy. Cancer Res 1996;56 (17):4032-4039.
- Rosca EV, Koskimaki JE, Rivera CG, Pandey NB, Tamiz AP, Popel AS. Anti-angiogenic peptides for cancer therapeutics. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2011;12(8): 1101-1116.
- 15. Bhattacharjee PS, Huq TS, Mandal TK, Graves RA, Muniruzzaman S, Clement C, et al. A novel peptide derived from human apolipoprotein E is an inhibitor of tumor growth and ocular angiogenesis. PLoS One 2011; 6(1):e15905.
- Binétruy-Tournaire R, Demangel C, Malavaud B, Vassy R, Rouyre S, Kraemer M, et al. Identification of a peptide blocking vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-mediated angiogenesis. EMBO J 2000;19(7): 1525-1533.
- Bainbridge JW, Jia H, Bagherzadeh A, Selwood D, Ali RR, Zachary I. A peptide encoded by exon 6 of VEGF (EG3306) inhibits VEGF-induced angiogenesis in vitro

and ischaemic retinal neovascularisation in vivo. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003;302(4):793-799.

- 18. Mizejewski GJ. Peptides as receptor ligand drugs and their relationship to G-coupled signal transduction. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2001;10(6):1063-1073.
- 19. Sillerud LO, Larson RS. Design and structure of peptide and peptidomimetic antagonists of protein-protein interaction. Curr Protein Pept Sci 2005;6(2):151-169.
- Nestor JJ Jr. The medicinal chemistry of peptides. Curr Med Chem 2009;16(33):4399-4418.
- Méndez-Samperio P. Peptidomimetics as a new generation of antimicrobial agents: current progress. Infect Drug Resist 2014;7:229-237.
- Gokhale AS, Satyanarayanajois S. Peptides and peptidomimetics as immunomodulators. Immunotherapy 2014;6 (6):755-774.
- Kaumaya PT, Foy KC. Peptide vaccines and peptidomimetics targeting HER and VEGF proteins may offer a potentially new paradigm in cancer immunotherapy. Future Oncol 2012;8(8):961-987.
- Bruno BJ, Miller GD, Lim CS. Basics and recent advances in peptide and protein drug delivery. Ther Deliv 2013;4(11):1443-1467.
- Sulochana KN, Ge R. Developing antiangiogenic peptide drugs for angiogenesis-related diseases. Curr Pharm Des 2007;13(20):2074-2086.
- Goede A, Michalsky E, Schmidt U, Preissner R. Super Mimic--fitting peptide mimetics into protein structures. BMC Bioinformatics 2006;7:11.
- Divya PS, Jain K, Sobhia ME. From peptides to peptidomimetics: rational design of potential PKC-β II inhibitors. Med Chem Res 2013;22(2):625-634.
- Kim JW, Kim TD, Hong BS, Kim OY, Yoon WH, Chae CB, et al. A serum-stable branched dimeric anti-VEGF peptide blocks tumor growth via anti-angiogenic activity. Exp Mol Med 2010;42(7):514-523.
- 29. Ling Y, Yang Y, Lu N, You QD, Wang S, Gao Y, et al. Endostar, a novel recombinant human endostatin, exerts antiangiogenic effect via blocking VEGF-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of KDR/Flk-1 of endothelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2007;361(1):79-84.
- 30. García-Aranda MI, González-López S, Santiveri CM, Gagey-Eilstein N, Reille-Seroussi M, Martín-Martínez M, et al. Helical peptides from VEGF and vammin hotspots for modulating the VEGF-VEGFR interaction. Org Biomol Chem 2013;11(11):1896-1905.
- 31. Hetian L, Ping A, Shumei S, Xiaoying L, Luowen H, Jian W, et al. A novel peptide isolated from a phage display library inhibits tumor growth and metastasis by blocking the binding of vascular endothelial growth factor to its kinase domain receptor. J Biol Chem 2002; 277(45):43137-43142.
- 32. Yi ZF, Cho SG, Zhao H, Wu YY, Luo J, Li D, et al. A novel peptide from human apolipoprotein(a) inhibits angiogenesis and tumor growth by targeting c-Src phosphorylation in VEGF-induced human umbilical endothelial cells. Int J Cancer 2009;124(4):843-852.

Avicenna Journal of Medical Biotechnology, Vol. 12, No. 2, April-June 2020

- 33. Notredame C, Higgins DG, Heringa J. T-Coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. J Mol Biol 2000;302(1):205-217.
- Rosca EV, Koskimaki JE, Rivera CG, Pandey NB, Tamiz AP, Popel AS. Anti-angiogenic peptides for cancer therapeutics. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2011;12(8): 1101-1116.
- 35. Giordano RJ, Cardo-Vila M, Salameh A, Anobom CD, Zeitlin BD, Hawke DH, et al. From combinatorial peptide selection to drug prototype (I): targeting the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010;107(11):5112-5117.
- 36. Lee TY, Folkman J, Javaherian K. HSPG-binding peptide corresponding to the exon 6a-encoded domain of VEGF inhibits tumor growth by blocking angiogenesis in murine model. PLoS One 2010;5(4):e9945.
- 37. Moradi S, Azerang P, Khalaj V, Sardari S. Antifungal indole and pyrrolidine-2, 4-dione derivative peptidomimetic lead design based on in silico study of bioactive peptide families. Avicenna J Med Biotechnol 2013;5(1): 42-53.

- 38. Foy KC, Liu Z, Phillips G, Miller M, Kaumaya P. Combination treatment with HER-2 and VEGF peptide mimics induces potent anti-tumor and anti-angiogenic responses in Vitro and in Vivo. J Biol Chem 2011;286 (15):13626-13637.
- 39. Foy KC, Miller MJ, Moldovan N, Carson WE 3rd, Kaumaya PT. Combined vaccination with HER-2 peptide followed by therapy with VEGF peptide mimics exerts effective anti-tumor and anti-angiogenic effects in vitro and in vivo. Oncoimmunology 2012;1(7):1048-1060.
- 40. Farzaneh Behelgardi M, Zahri S, Mashayekhi F, Mansouri K, Asghari SM. A peptide mimicking the binding sites of VEGF-A and VEGF-B inhibits VEGFR-1/-2 driven angiogenesis, tumor growth and metastasis. Sci Rep 2018;8(1):17924.
- 41. Searls DB. Using bioinformatics in gene and drug discovery. Drug Discov Today 2000;5(4):135-143.
- Selwood D, Zachary I, Jia H, Lohr M, Davis D. VEGF peptides and their use for inhibiting angiogenesis Google Patents. 2004.