

A Technique for Facile and Precise Transfer of Mouse Embryos

Ali Sarvari[†], Mohammad Mehdi Naderi[†], Mohammad Reza Sadeghi,
and Mohammad Mehdi Akhondi^{*}

Reproductive Biotechnology Research Center, Avicenna Research Institute, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

[†] These authors equally contributed to this work

Abstract

Background: Successful Embryo Transfer (ET) technique is a fateful step of all efforts to achieve live births from *in vitro* produced embryos in assisted reproductive techniques or in knockout, transgenic or cloned animal projects. Small reproductive tract of mice and limitation of current techniques may not well satisfy the requirements for mass production of genetically modified mice. Genetic abnormalities of embryos, receptivity and uterine contractions, expulsion of embryos, blood, mucus or bacterial contamination on the transfer pipette tip, technical problems and even animal strain may affect embryo transfer outcome.

Methods: In this study, two techniques of embryo transfer in mice were compared. In conventional technique the oviduct wall was punctured with a 30-gauge needle and the loaded Pasteur pipette with embryos and medium was inserted into the hole. In new technique, embryos that were loaded in modified micropipette with minimal medium were transferred directly to the oviduct by manual piston micro-pump easily. Embryo viability was evaluated considering the percentage of live healthy newborns.

Results: Results of the two techniques were compared by t-test within the NPAR1WAY procedure of SAS software (ver. 9.2). The average live birth rates in the novel methods was significantly higher (42.4%) than the conventional method (21.7%, $p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: In conclusion, using new embryo transfer technique improved birth rate by preventing embryos expulsion from the oviduct, saving time and easy transfer of embryos with minimum volume of medium.

Avicenna J Med Biotech 2013; 5(1): 62-65

Keywords: Embryo, Embryo transfer, Mice, Micropipette

Introduction

Fate of all efforts to give birth to an *in vitro* embryo produced from infertility treatment or especially from knockout, transgenic or cloning projects depends on successful Embryo Transfer (ET) technique¹. Small reproductive tract of mouse and positive pressure in the oviduct or uterus result in the discharge of freshly transferred embryo from their point of insertion. Moreover, limitation of current equipment and techniques and the necessity of sophisticated transfer skills mainly bring about unstable pregnancy rate of genetically modified transferred embryos.

Many factors such as genetic abnormalities of embryos, defects in uterine receptivity, uterine contractions, expulsion of embryos, blood or mucus on the catheter tip, bacterial contamination of

the catheter, and retained embryos have all been associated with problematic and unsuccessful embryo transfers². Animal strain may affect embryo transfer outcome, for example, DBA/2J mouse strain that is widely used for biomedical research suffers from poor reproductive performance³ while F1 C57BL/6×DBA/2 (B6D2F1) and FVB/N strains are routinely used in ET programs. Heterosis, hybrid vigor, or outbreeding enhancement, is the improved or increased function of any biological quality in a hybrid offspring. Rose C. *et al* reported that after reciprocal embryo transfer in inbred DBA/2JHd, C3H/HeNCrl and outbred NMRI mice the highest embryo yield was achieved in outbred NMRI females, but embryo yields were similar in DBA/2J and C3H/HeN mice following

superovulation despite poor estrus cycle synchronization in DBA/2J females ⁴.

The first oviduct transfer of mouse embryo was reported by Tarkowski ⁵, and then Whittingham demonstrated a widely-used method in rat and mouse that turned into the routine procedures for transfer of manipulated embryos ⁶. In conventional oviduct and uterus embryo transfer normally a puncture hole or small incision is first made in the wall of the uterus or oviduct by a 30- gauge needle for insertion of transfer pipette ⁷. The technique described for uterine transfer was first developed by McLaren and Mitchie ⁸ and extended by Rafferty ⁹ and Hogan ¹⁰. Various pregnancy rates ranging from 0-75% after uterine transfer have been reported ^{10,11}. Also Michael A. *et al* reported a transcervical embryo transfer method in mouse using a Non-Surgical Embryo Transfer (NSET™) device ¹². The recent method is suitable only for uterus embryo transfer while in some research projects oviduct transfer is needed.

To arrest transferred embryos in the oviduct or uterus, application of hyper-viscous transfer medium, placement of the embryos into the endometrium or transfer of the embryos into the uterus through the utero-tubal junction, which acts as a natural barrier, have been utilized specifically ¹³. Nonetheless, wide application of these modified methods has been confined by the high-skill requirements of the operating techniques. Zheng Zhang *et al* described a modified embryo transfer pipette with a syringe-like tip used with a mouth pipette which is the same as conventional method. They improved viability rate of mouse embryos 20% higher than conventional methods ⁷. In the present study, we use a modified micropipette with manual piston micro-pump that is more effective, time-saving and easy to use with minimum volume of transferred medium that eliminates the need for professional technical training.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of modified transfer micropipette

A manual piston pump (Cell Tram oil®) (Figure 1) and micropipettes (Kwik-fil®; Borosilicate Glass Capillaries 1 mm outer diameter and 800µm inner diameters) were used in this technique. For washing, these intact micropipettes were put in distilled water that was changed four times along 24hr. Then put in Milli-Q water for 24hr and were dried in oven (180-200 °C) for 1-3 hr (Figure 2A). A micropipette puller set (flaming brown, model-P97, Sutter instrument Co., USA) pulled intact



Figure 1. Manual piston pump (Cell Tram Oil®) used in mouse embryo transfer

micropipettes for inner diameter (I.D) of 150-200 µm, and then by micropipette grinder EG-400 (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) the tip was ground to a flat and diagonal opening at a 45°C angle and a sharp point (about 70-80 µm) was created at the tip by microforge MF-900 (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 2B).

Animals

We used eight-ten week old outbred NMRI mice for all males (fertile and vasectomised) and females (recipient and donor). They were maintained in a standard environmental and nutritional condition.

Culture of collected embryos before ET

Donors (n=10) were superovulated using *i.p.* injection of 5 IU HMG (Merional®: Human Menopausal Gonadotrophin, IBSA, Switzerland) and after 45-47 hr, they were received 5 IU hCG (Choriomon®: human Chorionic Gonadotropin, IBSA, Switzerland) and mated with fertile male (1:2, male: female ratio). Next day two-cell embryos were collected from donor with vaginal plug through flushing of oviduct by M2 medium. They were washed four times in M2 medium then moved to KSOM medium drops under mineral oil in 5% CO₂ and 37°C incubator till ET.

Preparation of recipient mouse before ET

Recipients were mated with vasectomised males (1:2 ratio) a day after donor mating without superovulation protocol (HMG and hCG). Recipients with vaginal plugs were selected for embryo transfer. Selected recipient mice (10 recipients/




Figure 2. A) Prepared borosilicate glass capillary; B) Sharp tip of modified embryo transfer pipette

group) were anesthetized with *i.p.* injection of ketamine (62.5 mg/kg body weight, Alfasan Co., Holland) and xylazine (6.25 mg/kg body weight, Alfasan Co., Holland). A 1 cm long skin incision was made parallel to the dorsal midline for exposing oviduct.

Oviductal embryo transfer techniques

Conventional oviductal ET: Conventional oviduct transfer was performed as control. A Pasteur pipette (outer diameter 5 mm, inner diameter approx. 4 mm, length 300 mm) was pulled on a flame smoothly to produce a tube with an internal diameter of 200-300 μ m and a narrow shaft approximately 1.5-2 cm long. It loaded with a common arrangement of media (~ 10-15 μ l) and three air bubbles to visualize successful transfer. The oviduct wall was punctured with a 30-gauge needle and the loaded pipette was inserted into the hole. The two-cell embryos (4 embryos/oviduct) were blown slowly into the oviduct until air bubbles became visible in the ampullae.

Novel improved oviductal ET

In this method first, two-cell stage embryos was loaded into modified embryo transfer pipette by manual piston pump (Cell Tram oil® or similar) under stereomicroscope with a common arrangement of media ($\leq 1 \mu$ l) and air bubbles by a person. Then it was inserted directly into wall of the second coiled corner (counting from the ampullae) of the oviduct with the assistance of Watchmaker's forceps under the other stereomicroscope by his colleague. First person turns the adjusting screw of pump to transfer embryo to oviduct. For evaluation of leakage and tracing the medium flow in oviduct, two control groups (10 mice/group) were selected and Trypan blue solved in M2 medium as a visible dye inserted into mice oviducts using conventional (10-15 μ l) or novel (1 μ l) method (Figure 3A). Oviduct was returned into the abdomen after dye injection and after some minutes it was exposed again to ensure if dye leakage occurred (Figure 3B). Embryo viability was evaluated by calculating the percentage of live healthy pup as numbers of live healthy pup divided by number of transferred embryos, in each mouse. The results of these two treatments were compared by t-test within the NPARIWAY procedure of SAS software (ver. 9.2).

Results

In this improved method sharp tip of modified embryo transfer pipette can be inserted easily




Figure 3. A) Dye injection in to oviduct by modified micropipette: modified pipette filled with trypan blue (a), second coiled ahead ampulla (b), fat pad of ovary (c), oviduct (d); B) Ampulla without leakage after dye injection

through the wall of the oviduct, so there is no need to puncture the oviductal wall by needle before insertion of pipette. Recently, Chin and Wang performed uterine transfer from the oviduct side of the utero-tubal junction and achieved a 100% implantation rate because of their success in preventing the embryos from flowing out of the incision when they withdrew the transfer pipette¹³.

Using the mentioned pump equipped with a micro-adjusting screw launches a gentle, fine, fast and exact transferring instruments for oviductal ET with minimal transferred medium to the oviduct compared with conventional method ($\leq 1 \mu$ l vs. 10-15 μ l, respectively). Tracing inserted dye into the oviduct showed that all of the transferred embryos would remain in the oviduct lumen (Figure 3). The pregnancy rate of unmanipulated zygotes after oviduct transfer ranges from 45-80% with an average number of transferred zygotes of 25-30¹⁴. In this study the average live birth rates in novel methods was significantly higher (42.4%) than conventional method (21.7%; Table 1; Figure 4; $p < 0.05$). The high viability rate of transferred embryo in novel technique might be attributed to time-saving process with fewer traumas and bleeding in oviduct, effective blockage of outflow of the medium from insertion site, and less transferred medium with embryos. These results were consistent with the results of Englert *et al* that reported a 33.3% pregnancy rate with excellent




Figure 4. Live pup of NMRI mouse resulted from modified embryo transfer technique

Table 1. Results of two different embryo transfer methods

Transfer method	Total number of recipients	Total number of transferred embryos	Total number of healthy fetuses (Mean±SEM%)
Conventional method	10	80	18 (21.7±6.29) ^a
Novel method	10	80	30 (42.4±7.1) ^b

a, b) The means are significantly different (p<0.05)

transfer technique, whereas "bad" transfers yielded a 10.5% pregnancy rate in human¹⁵. Similarly, Mansour *et al* showed that difficult embryo transfers had a significantly lower pregnancy rate and implantation rate (4 and 1%, respectively) compared with easy transfers (20.4 and 6.7%, respectively)¹⁶. Others have reported a strong correlation between the ease of embryo transfer and pregnancy¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Successful embryo transfer requires manual dexterity for introducing embryos into the reproductive tracts and preventing discharge of the transferred embryos from it; however, the latter consideration is often ignored.

Conclusion

In conclusion, utilization of this more user friendly and less invasive tool can greatly improve the success of embryo transfer and other techniques such as spermatogonial stem cell transplantation in rete testis and so on that need fine and exact transfer instruments.

Acknowledgement

We thank all of our friends in Avicenna Research Institute (ARI) specially our colleagues in Embryology and Animal housing departments for their good cooperation and auspices during this study.

References

1. Van Keuren ML, Saunders TL. Rederivation of transgenic and gene-targeted mice by embryo transfer. *Transgenic Res* 2004;13(4):363-371.
2. Munne S, Alikani M, Tomkin G, Grifo J, Cohen J. Embryo morphology, developmental rates, and maternal age are correlated with chromosome abnormalities. *Fertil Steril* 1995;64(2):382-391.
3. Kaneda H, Taguma K, Suzuki C, Ozaki A, Nakamura C, Hachisu A, Kobayashi K, et al. An optimal embryo transfer condition for the effective production of DBA/2J mice. *Exp Anim* 2007; 56(5):385-388.
4. Rose C, Schwegler H, Hanke J, Yilmazer-Hanke DM. Pregnancy rates, prenatal and postnatal survival of offspring, and litter sizes after reciprocal embryo transfer in DBA/2JHd, C3H/HeNCrI and NMRI mice. *Theriogenology* 2012;77(9):1883-1893.
5. Tarkowski AK. Experimental studies on regulation in the development of isolated blastomeres of mouse eggs. *Acta Theriol* 1959;3:191-287.
6. Whittingham DG. Fertilization of mouse eggs in vitro. *Nature* 1968;220(5167):592-593.
7. Zhang Z, Lv X, Wang Y, Chen Y, Zheng R, Sun H, Bian G, et al. Success of murine embryo transfer increased by a modified transfer pipette. *J Reprod Dev* 2009;55(1):94-97.
8. Mc Laren A, Michie D. Studies on the transfer of fertilized mouse eggs to uterine foster-mothers. I. Factors affecting the implantation and survival of native and transferred eggs. *J Exp Biol* 1956;33: 397-416.
9. Rafferty RA. *Methods in experimental embryology of the mouse*. Baltimore; Johns Hopkins Press: 1970.
10. Hogan B, Beddington R, Costantini F, Lacy E. *Manipulating the mouse embryo*. 2nd ed. NewYork; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: 1994.
11. Goto Y, Noda Y, Narimoto K, Umaoka Y, Tokura T, Mori T. Pregnancy achieved by transferring blastocysts into endometrial stroma in mice. *Hum Reprod* 1992;7 (5):681-684.
12. Michael AG, Shannon B, Brett TS. Transcervical transfer of mouse embryos using the non-surgical embryo transfer (NSET™) device. *BioTechniques* 2009;47:919-924.
13. Chin HJ, Wang CK. Utero-tubal transfer of mouse embryos. *Genesis* 2001;30(2):77-81.
14. Nagy A, Gertsenstein M, Vintersten K, Behringer R. *Manipulating the mouse embryo: A laboratory manual*. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor, New York; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: 2003, 161–208.
15. Englert Y, Puissant F, Camus M, Van Hoeck J, Leroy F. Clinical study on embryo transfer after human in vitro fertilization. *J In Vitro Fertil Embryo Transfer* 1986;3 (4):243-246.
16. Mansour R, Aboulghar M, Serour G. Dummy embryo transfer: a technique that minimizes the problems of embryo transfer and improves the pregnancy rate in human in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 1990;54(4):678-681.
17. Wood EG, Batzer F.R, Go KJ, Gutmann JN, Corson SL. Ultrasound guided soft catheter embryo transfers will improve pregnancy rates in in-vitro fertilization. *Hum Reprod* 2000;15(1):107-112.
18. Visser DS, Fourie FL, Kruger HF. Multiple attempts at embryo transfer: effects on pregnancy outcome in an in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer program. *J Assist Reprod Genetics* 1993;10(1):37-43.
19. Tomás C, Tikkinen K, Tuomivaara L, Tapanainen JS, Martikainen H. The degree of difficulty of embryo transfer is an independent variable for predicting pregnancy in in-vitro fertilization treatments. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17 (10):2632-2635.