\* Corresponding author:

Hossein Mozdarani, Ph.D.,

Sciences, Tarbiat Modares

Genetics, Faculty of Medical

Department of Medical

University, Tehran, Iran **Tel:** +98 21 82883830

Fax: +98 21 88006544

mozdarah@modares.ac.ir

**Received:** 4 Nov 2013 **Accepted:** 4 Feb 2014

E-mail:

# Cytogenetic Alterations in Preimplantation Mice Embryos Following Male Mouse Gonadal Gamma-irradiation: Comparison of Two Methods for Reproductive Toxicity Screening

Mahdieh Salimi<sup>1</sup>, Hossein Mozdarani<sup>2\*</sup>, and Elmina Nazari<sup>3</sup>

1. Department of Medical Genetics, National Institute of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Tehran, Iran

2. Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

3. Department of Science and Research, Azad University and Royan Institute, Tehran, Iran

#### Abstract

**Background:** Genome instability is a main cause of chromosomal alterations in both somatic and germ cells when exposed to environmental, physical and chemical genotoxicants. Germ cells especially spermatozoa are more vulnerable to suffering from DNA damaging agents during spermatogenesis and also more potent in transmitting genome instability to next generation.

**Methods:** To investigate the effects of  $\gamma$ -rays on inducing abnormalities manifested as numerical Chromosome Aberrations (CA) and Micronucleus (MN) in preimplantation embryos, adult male NMRI mice were irradiated with 4 *Gy* of  $\gamma$ -rays. They were then mated at weekly intervals with superovulated, non-irradiated female mice in 6 successive weeks. About 68 *hr* post coitous, four to eight cell embryos were retrieved and fixed on slides using standard methods in order to screen for CA and MN.

**Results:** In embryos generated from irradiated mice, the frequency of aneuploidy and MN increased dramatically at all post-irradiation sampling times as compared to the control (p<0.01). The frequency of embryos expressed MN was much higher than chromosomally abnormal embryos, although the trend of MN formation was similar to chromosomal abnormalities seen in corresponding sampling times.

**Conclusion:** Irradiation of sperms at any stages of spermatogenesis may lead to stable chromosomal abnormalities affecting pairing and disjunction of chromosomes in successive preimplantation embryos that are expressed as MN. Although chromosome analysis of embryos showed various types of chromosomal abnormalities, MN assay provide a simpler and faster technique for investigating the genotoxicity of agents affecting embryos at preimplantation stages.

Avicenna J Med Biotech 2014; 6(3): 130-139

Keywords: Chromosomal aberrations, Embryo, Germ cells, Irradiation, Micronuclei

# Introduction

Spermatogenesis is a long, complex and finely tuned process <sup>1</sup>. During this process, the developing germ cells are sensitive to endogenous and exogenous stress. Cancer therapies

such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy can cause temporary or permanent impairment of fertility in male cancer patients who usually are in the reproductive age <sup>2-4</sup>. Therefore, an important goal of successful treatment is to minimize the cytotoxic impact of the treatment in order to maximize chances of reinitiating spermatogenesis while still efficiently killing cancerous cells. To this end, it is necessary to understand how radiation affects the differentiating germ cells and thus produces infertility in male mammals or abnormality in subsequent embryos or fetuses.

Sperm DNA damage is gaining interest as a potential cause of infertility, and it may be initiated by a wide range of causes such as drugs, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, cigarette smoking and environmental toxins, genital tract inflammation, testicular hyperthermia, varicoceles, hormonal factors, *etc*<sup>5</sup>. The normality of sperm nuclear DNA plays a critical role in mammalian fertilization and subsequent embryonic development<sup>6</sup>.

Germ cell mutagens such as ionizing radiation may lead to induction of an elevated germ line mutation rate in the directly exposed parents. These mutational events may have an indirect effect on genome stability which is transmitted through the germ line of irradiated parents to their offspring <sup>7,8</sup>. Male and female germ cells vary in their sensitivity to the mutagenic effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy, depending on their stage of maturation and the agent used <sup>9,10</sup>.

The effects of radiation on human beings include miscarriage, stillbirth, and malformation due to a genetic disorder in the paternal germ cell, as well as an increased incidence of cancer <sup>7,11,12</sup>. In particular, the incidence of genetic disorders in the descendent generation is likely to result from genome instabilities in the parent's generation <sup>13</sup>.

It has been demonstrated that sperm cells with damaged or fragmented DNA can fertilize oocytes *in vitro*<sup>14</sup>. Some authors consider that this also happens *in vivo*<sup>15</sup> and that highly motile mouse sperm did not differ in types and frequencies of chromosomal abnormalities from those not selected for motility <sup>16</sup>.

It has been shown that DNA-damaged sperms have the ability to fertilize the oocyte but that embryonic development is very much related to the degree of DNA damage. The majority of *de novo* structural chromosome aberrations in fetuses and newborns are considered to be of paternal origin, especially of sperm origin <sup>17</sup>.

Due to the importance of the paternal germ cell in genetic disorders caused by radiation, apoptosis, gene mutation, repair capabilities, and chromosome aberrations of spermatogonia were used as endpoint markers for evaluation <sup>18-23</sup>. Investigation of DNA damage and chromosomal abnormality due to radiation in germ cells of male and female and their embryos can be carried out by several methods. One simple and economical method for this is the micronucleus test in interphase cells <sup>24</sup>.

Micronuclei reflect structural and/or numerical chromosome aberrations arising during mitosis <sup>25-28</sup>. The quantification of MN is simple and fast, and it does not require the presence of cells at metaphase stage <sup>27</sup>. Some studies have used MN assay to investigate the irradiation effects on chromosomal aberrations and genome instability <sup>29</sup>.

The aim of this study was, therefore, to investigate the effects of paternal gamma-irradiation of mice at various time intervals on the frequency of micronuclei and numerical chromosome aberrations and compare the efficacy of these two methods for screening transgenerational genome instability induced by physical and chemical agents.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Animals and test groups

Six to eight week old NMRI mice with a mean weight of  $30\pm 5 gr$  were purchased from Razi Institute (Karaj, Iran). Male mice were housed singly in plastic cages; females were housed in a group of 4-5/cage at least for one week before being used for experiments. The animals were housed in a room kept in mesh cages at  $22^{\circ}C$  with a cycle of 10 hr darkness and 14 hr light and 60-70% relative humidity. Mice were fed with standard breeding granulated diet and water *ad libitum*. Females and males were randomly assigned to control or

test groups and mated overnight after induction of superovulation in females using intraperitoneal (*i.p*) injection of 10 *International Units* (*IU*) of pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin folligon (PMSG; Intervet, Germany) followed by injection of 10 *IU* of Human Chorionic Gonadotrophin (HCG; Organon, UK) with a 42-48 hr interval. Four days after gamma-irradiation, irradiated male mice were mated with superovoulated females in 6 successive weeks at weekly intervals.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tarbiat Modares University and animals were treated according to the university regulations.

# Gamma-irradiation and coupling

Mice were whole body irradiated with 4 Gy gamma rays generated from a cobalt-60 source (Theratron II, 780 C, Canada) at a dose rate of 132 *cGy/min*, with source to sample distance of (SSD)=82 cm, field size of  $20 \times 20$ *cm* at room temprature  $(23\pm 2^{\circ}C)$ . Four days after gamma-irradiation, irradiated male mice were mated with superovoulated un-irradiated females in 6 successive weeks at weekly intervals. Three to five irradiated male mice were assigned for coupling in each experimental group. Two un-irradiated superovoulated female mice were transferred with an irradiated male in a cage for an overnight to mate. The next morning female mice were checked for Vaginal Plaugh (VP). A VP positive female was considered as a pregnant mouse. A control group consisted of unirradiated animals was assigned for each experimental group. All experiments were repeated three times.

It is worthy to mention that several authors used the dose of 4 Gy for investigating radiation induced genomic instability <sup>30</sup>, *in vitro* cytogenetic effects on human and mouse germ cells <sup>31,32</sup> and prenatal effects of gamma-irradiation <sup>33</sup>.

# Embryo recovery

About 68 *hr* post coitous (p.c), the pregnant females were sacrificed by cervical dislocation method and their oviducts were flushed

using a special flush syringe (Supa, Iran) filled with 37°C incubated T6 medium [ingredients for pH of 7.2-7.4; NaCl (4.73 mg/ml),  $\text{KCl}(110\,\mu\text{g/ml}), \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4(50\,\mu\text{g/ml}), \text{MgCl}_2.$ 6H<sub>2</sub>O (100 μg/ml), CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O (260 μg/ml), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.10 *mg/ml*), phenol red (10  $\mu g/ml$ ), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA 6 µg/ *ml*), glucose (1 mg/ml) and Na-pyruvate  $(30 \ \mu g/ml)$  purchased from Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA; penicillin G (60  $\mu g/ml$ ) and streptomycin (50  $\mu g/ml$ ) from Seromed, Berlin, Germany and Na-lactate (1.98  $\mu g/ml$ ) from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany]. The flushing was done under a stereomicroscope (Hund-Wetzlar, Wetzlar, Germany) to obtain 4-8 cell embryos. The collected morphologically normal embryos were transferred to fresh T6 medium supplemented with 15 mg/ml bovin serum albumin (BSA, Sigma) containing 0.2  $\mu g/ml$  colcemid (Gibco BRL, Lifetech, USA) incubated in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> incubator (Lifetech, USA) at 37°C for 16-20 hr (Colcemid was used only for metaphase analysis not for MN assay).

# Slide prepareation for cytogenetic analysis

For cytogenetic analysis, Dyban method, which is a suitable method for analyzing chromosomes of embryo cells, was used with some modifications <sup>34</sup>. Briefly, the zona pellucida was removed by the use of Tyrode's acid [ingredients for pH=2.5; NaCl (8 mg/ml), KCl (2 mg/ml), MgCl<sub>2</sub>6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mg/ml), CaCl<sub>2</sub>  $2H_2O$  (0.25 mg/ml), glucose (1 mg/ml) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (4 mg/ml) all from Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA]. This process was followed under a stereomicroscope to avoid damage to the blastomers. Then embryos were transferred to a watch glass containing 1% sodium citrate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) as a hypotonic solution for 30 min. Embryos were placed on a pre-cleaned slide and fixed with a drop of fixative consisting of methanol and acetic acid (3:1) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

After leaving overnight at room temperature, slides were stained in 3% Giemsa (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 3 *min* and cells were analyzed under a light microscope (Nikon, Kawasaki, Japan) at  $\times 1000$  magnification to screen micronuclei in blastomers and numerical chromosome abnormalities. Figures 1 and 2 show sample metaphase and blastomers with or without micronuclei after staining in Giemsa.

# Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed and the significance of any inter-group differences was evaluated with  $\chi^2$  and Mann-Whitney U-test using SPSS (version 16) software. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare three or more groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### **Results**

Results are summarized in tables 1 and 2 and shown in figure 3. As seen, radiation dramatically increased the frequency of embryos with abnormal metaphases (Table 1) and the yield of MN in embryos generated from irradiated males was compared to em-



Figure 1. Metaphase plates prepared for blastomers showing A) normal; B) hyperdiploid; C) hypodiploid; D) near triploid metaphase spreads

bryos generated from non-irradiated male mice (Table 2). As seen in figure 3A, in the embryos generated from irradiated males from 1st to 6th weeks post-irradiation, 14.33, 17.7, 23.3, 29.7, 35 and 41% of embryos contained abnormal metaphase plates respectively and all values were significantly higher than the control group value of 8.7% (p<0.01). The frequencies of embryos containing MN were 19.9, 24, 36.8, 41.57, 53.9 and 66.7%, respectively which were all significantly higher than the control group value of 10.6% (p<0.01) (Figure 3A). Similar trend of MN formation following irradiation was observed when the frequencies of MN were analyzed per 100 cells instead of 100 embryos (Figure 3B). In table 2 and figure 3, the frequency of micronuclei is expressed as MN per cell because all the embryos did not contain similar number of cells. All the embryos retrieved were not at eight cell stage, *i.e.* different embryos contained different number of cells. This analysis might provide more accurate estimate of micronuclei formation in cells rather than assuming all embryos have similar number of cells.

Data shown in figure 3 clearly indicate that the frequency of micronucleated embryos and cells in all post-irradiation mating times was significantly higher than embryos or cells with abnormal metaphase plates (p<0.05 for weeks 1 and 2; p<0.01 for weeks 3-6). However, the increasing trend of abnormal metaphase and micronuclei formation was similar.

#### Discussion

Studies of preimplantation stage embryos by classic cytogenetic techniques have limitations, starting with the need for good meta-



Figure 2. Photomicrographs of A) blastomers showing 8 cell normal embryo; B) two cell embryo having one micronucleus; C) two cells at anaphase with lagging chromosomes (arrows) eventually forming micronuclei

Avicenna Journal of Medical Biotechnology, Vol. 6, No. 3, July-September 2014

#### Cytogenetic Methods for Reproductive Toxicity Screening

Table 1. Frequency of abnormal metaphases in analyzed embryos and cells after paternal irradiation (4 *Gy* gamma rays), before mating with non-irradiated female mice at weekly intervals. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. Data in each experiment represent pooled data obtained from a group of 4-5 VP positive (pregnant) mice

Treatment (Mating intervals after irradiation)	Total no. of embryo retrieved	Total no. of cells	Total no. of cells in metaphase	Total no. of abnormal metaphase	Abnormal metaphase/ embryo	Abnormal metaphase/ cell	%Abnormal metaphase/ embryo
Control							
Exp.* 1	58	290	55	4	0.07	0.014	7
Exp. 2	51	240	50	4	0.09	0.017	9
Exp. 3	30	180	40	3	0.1	0.017	10
Week 1							
Exp. <sup>*</sup> 1	47	260	20	6	0.13	0.023	13
Exp. 2	30	180	15	4	0.13	0.022	13
Exp. 3	29	180	25	5	0.17	0.028	17
Week 2							
Exp. <sup>*</sup> 1	58	290	14	6	0.10	0.021	10
Exp. 2	60	300	21	11	0.18	0.037	18
Exp. 3	40	195	20	10	0.25	0.051	25
Week 3							
Exp. <sup>*</sup> 1	52	250	10	6	0.12	0.024	12
Exp. 2	50	175	15	9	0.18	0.051	18
Exp. 3	30	115	23	12	0.4	0.10	40
Week 4							
Exp.* 1	48	168	15	10	0.21	0.059	21
Exp. 2	39	115	15	10	0.26	0.087	26
Exp. 3	26	90	20	11	0.42	0.12	42
Week 5							
Exp. <sup>*</sup> 1	44	200	20	16	0.36	0.08	36
Exp. 2	38	180	10	8	0.21	0.04	21
Exp. 3	27	103	20	13	0.48	0.126	48
Week 6							
Exp. 1	45	200	20	17	0.38	0.085	38
Exp. 2	38	160	20	16	0.42	0.1	42
Exp. 3	30	102	15	13	0.43	0.127	43

\* Exp=Experiment

phase spreads when only one third of all analyzed embryos may show good quality metaphases (*e.g.*, Table 1) <sup>35,36</sup>. MN test is a reliable *in vivo* test for evaluation of the clastogenic effects of mutagens and radiation. MN arises from acentric chromosome fragments or chromosomes which are not incorporated into daughter nuclei during mitosis <sup>25</sup>. MN scoring in interphase cells has been proposed and used as the quick and easy substitute for the more difficult and time consuming metaphase aberration analysis <sup>37-39</sup>.

Sperm DNA fragmentation affects sperm motility and fertilization rates <sup>40</sup>. It has been reported *in vivo* that the likelihood of boar

spermatozoa with unstable chromatin to reach and to fertilize the oocyte is very low <sup>41</sup>. There is evidence suggesting that the journey of the sperm cells from the site of deposition to the site of fertilization is both dynamic (by the sperm and the female tract) and highly complex <sup>42</sup>. Passage of sperm through female reproductive tract is regulated to maximize the chance of fertilization and to ensure that sperm cells with normal morphology and vigorous motility will be the ones to succeed <sup>43</sup>.

It has been reported that *in vitro*, sperm with single stranded or denatured DNA bind less or do not bind at all to the Zona Pellucida (ZP)<sup>18</sup>. In pigs, spermatozoa with stable chro-

#### Salimi M, et al

Downloaded from http://www.ajmb.org

Table 2. Frequency of micronuclei in analyzed embryos and cells after paternal irradiation (4 Gy gamma rays), before mating with
non-irradiated female mice at weekly intervals. Results were obtained from three independent experiments. Data in each experiment
represent pooled data obtained from a group of 4-5 VP positive (pregnant) mice

Treatment (Mating intervals after irradiation)	Total no. of embryo retrieved	Total no. of cells	Total no. of MN <sup>**</sup>	MN/ Embryo	MN/cell <sup>#</sup>	%Embryo with MN
Control						
Exp.* 1	60	310	7	0.12	0.023	12
Exp. 2	53	250	5	0.094	0.02	9.4
Exp. 3	28	220	3	0.11	0.014	11
Week 1						
Exp. 1	45	262	9	0.2	0.03	20
Exp. 2	32	230	7	0.22	0.03	22
Exp. 3	28	180	5	0.18	0.028	18
Week 2						
Exp. 1	80	402	17	0.21	0.04	21
Exp. 2	73	345	15	0.21	0.04	21
Exp. 3	33	195	10	0.3	0.05	30
Week 3						
Exp. 1	60	285	20	0.33	0.07	33
Exp. 2	59	175	17	0.29	0.10	29
Exp. 3	31	116	15	0.48	0.13	48
Week 4						
Exp. 1	46	165	20	0.43	0.12	43
Exp. 2	38	110	15	0.39	0.14	39
Exp. 3	24	85	10	0.42	0.12	42
Week 5						
Exp. 1	42	124	22	0.52	0.18	52
Exp. 2	36	106	18	0.5	0.17	50
Exp. 3	27	64	16	0.59	0.25	59
Week 6						
Exp. 1	59	175	40	0.68	0.23	68
Exp. 2	49	145	32	0.65	0.22	65
Exp. 3	33	99	22	0.67	0.22	67

\* Exp=Experiment; \*\* MN=Micronucleus

# The column showing MN/cell is indicative of the frequency of MN observed in total cells of analyzed embryos. This was done because all the embryos did not contain similar number of cells

matin are more likely both to bind to the oviduct and to traverse the reproductive tract *in vivo*<sup>41</sup>, ultimately reaching the oocytes and penetrating the zona pellucida. Since the female reproductive tract does not assess the sperm DNA quality directly, the selection has to be based on sperm phenotype and function <sup>44</sup>.

Other studies showed that radiation induced DNA damages in spermatozoa may be transmitted to the next generation without being selected at fertilization, because it is previously shown that spermatozoa can retain a high fertilizing ability even after a high dose of irradiation <sup>45</sup>. In an investigation on *in vitro* fertilization rate of mouse eggs with sperm after X-irradiation at various spermatogenesis stages, Mastuda *et al* <sup>46</sup> have shown that the number of fertilized eggs seemed to remain constant at control level until the 4th week after X-irradiation and then it reached to a minimum level in the 6th week. The response to radiation exposure is very much dependent on the developmental stage of germ cells during which this exposure takes place. These changes are explained in terms of the differential sensitivity of cells to killing and aberration induction in different phases of cell cy-



Figure 3. Percentage of chromosomal abnormalities and MN presence in A) 4-8 cell preimplantation embryos; and B) cells generated from gamma-irradiated (4 Gy) male. Whole body irradiated males were mated successively at weekly intervals from 1-6 weeks after irradiation. Error bars show standard error of mean values calculated from three independent experiments. \* denotes the p-value <0.01 and \*\* denotes p-value <0.001 as compared to control values.

cle. Results obtained in the present study, as shown in figures 3A and B, are in agreement with other previous reports indicating radio-sensitivity of all cell lineage in the spermato-genesis process <sup>19,32,45-50</sup>.

As the data indicates, the frequency of numerical chromosome abnormalities and MN presence in embryos generated from gammairradiated male mice for all six weeks postirradiation is significantly higher than that of the control group (p<0.01). Moreover, the frequency of abnormalities sharply increased from the 4th through the 6th weeks post-irradiation.

MN is the result of chromosomal aberrations induced during preceding mitotic division of blastomers. These are from acentric fragment or lagging chromosomes induced by mutagens or clastogens such as ionizing radiation or are the result of non-disjunction <sup>25,39,51</sup>. Irradiation of embryos in the first mitotic division could induce chromosomal abnormalities after several blastomers divisions in embryos. Recently, it was shown that irradiation of germ cells before mating leads to increased frequencies of chromosomal aberrations in subsequent pre-implantation embryos <sup>52</sup>. Numerical abnormal metaphase plates may contain more than 1 chromosome abnormality that each one by itself can be expressed and visualized as MN in subsequent generating

embryos. As indicated in figure 3, the increase of MN as compared with abnormal metaphase plates might be due to this reason.

Required time for spermatogenesis in mice for spermatozoa development from the stem cells is more or less constant (about 6 weeks). Accordingly, the fertilizing spermatozoa in the first week post gamma-irradiation has been in its spermatid stage at the time of irradiation, also gamma-irradiated early spermatid, secondary spermatocyte, early spermatocyte and spermatogonia stages act as a fertilizing spermatozoa in 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th weeks post-irradiation, respectively <sup>53</sup>.

Data shown in tables 1 and 2 as well as figure 3 suggest that gamma-irradiation affects all the stages of spermatogenesis cycle in the male mice for inducing micronuclei and numerical chromosome aberrations. As seen, the increased frequencies of MN and numerical chromosome aberrations in male mice for all mating times post-irradiation were significantly different from the controls' (p<0.01). There was a sharp increase in MN frequency and numerical chromosome aberrations from 4th to 6th weeks post-irradiation. These results suggest that gamma-irradiation affects all the stages of spermatogenesis cycle in the male mice, but spermatocyte and spermatogonia stages are the most radiosensitive stages for inducing numerical chromosome abnormalities. These abnormalities may be due to translocations induced in chromosomes that affect chromosome pairing and meiotic segregation in male mice resulting in aneuploid lagging chromosomes <sup>52</sup> which are expressed as MN.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, it was shown that first, the genome instability induced in male germ cells at any stages of spermatogenic cycle will be translated into the subsequent embryos formed by these germ cells as chromosomal abnormalities or micronuclei; i.e. transgenerational genome instability. Therefore, the benefit of this type of research is showing that the effect of DNA damaging agents such as ionizing radiation is not limited to the spermatogenesis process, rather they can be transmitted to the next generation. These types of damages are the main causes of embryonic death, implantation failure, and embryonic abnormalities in later stages. Second, micronuclei are usually considered as chromosome fragments of lagging chromosomes observable after first mitotic cycle. It was shown that DNA damage in any stage of spermatogenic cycle will lead to the formation of micronuclei in subsequent embryos. The results also indicate that micronuclei assay provide an easy and simple method for screening transgenerational genome instability in preimplantation embryos induced by chemical and physical agents as compared to more difficult and time consuming metaphase analysis techniques.

# Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank Dr. M.H. Zahmatkesh for his help in gamma-irradiation of mice. This work was supported in part by the Royan Institute.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

## References

- Russell L, Ettlin R, Sinha Hikim A, Clegg E. Histological and histopathological evaluation of the testis. 1st ed. Clearwater, FL: Cache River Press;1990.
- Meistrich ML. Effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy on spermatogenesis. Eur Urol 1993;23(1): 136-141.
- Shetty G, Meistrich ML. Hormonal approaches to preservation and restoration of male fertility after cancer treatment. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2005; (34):36-39.
- Fosså S, Magelssen H. Fertility and reproduction after chemotherapy of adult cancer patients: malignant lymphoma and testicular cancer. Ann Oncol 2004;15(Suppl 4):259-265.
- Oliva R. Protamines and male infertility. Hum Reprod Update 2006;12(4):417-435.
- Hourcade JD, Pérez-Crespo M, Fernández-González R, Pintado B, Gutiérrez-Adán A. Selection against spermatozoa with fragmented DNA after postovulatory mating depends on the type of damage. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2010;8:9.
- Dubrova YE. Radiation induced transgenerational instability. Oncogen 2003;22(45):7087-7093.
- Dasenbrock C, Tillmann T, Ernst H, Behnke W, Kellner R, Hagemann G, et al. Maternal effects and cancer risk in the progeny of mice exposed to xrays before conception. Exp Toxicol Pathol 2005; 56(6):351-360.
- Arnon J, Meirow D, Lewis-Roness H, Ornoy A. Genetic and teratogenetic effects of cancer treatment of gametes and embryos. Hum Reprod Update 2001;7(4):394-403.
- Palyga GF. Embryogenesis and early postnatal ontogenesis of posterity of two generations of female Wistar rats, depending on the time of their fertilization after low dose radiation exposure, Radiat Biol Radioecol 2002;42(4):390-394.
- Nakamura N. Genetic effects of radiation in atomic-bomb survivors and their children: past, present and future. J Radiat Res 2006;47(Suppl B):B67-73.
- Nomura T. Transgenerational effects of radiation and chemicals in mice and humans. J Radiat Res 2006;47(Suppl B):B83-97.
- 13. Tamminga J, Koturbash I, Baker M, Kutanzi K, Kathiria P, Pogribny IP, al. Paternal cranial irradiation induces distant bystander DNA damage in the germ line and leads to epigenetic alterations in the offspring. Cell Cycle 2008;7(9):1238-1245.

- Ahmadi A, Ng SC. Fertilizing ability of DNA-damaged spermatozoa. J Exp Zool 1999;284(6):696-704.
- 15. Fatehi AN, Bevers MM, Schoevers E, Roelen BA, Colenbrander B, Gadella BM. DNA damage in bovine sperm does not block fertilization and early embryonic development but induces apoptosis after the first cleavages. J Androl 2006;27(2):176-188.
- Estop A, Catala V, Santalo J. Chromosome constitution of highly motile mouse sperm. Mol Reprod Dev 1990;27(2):168-172.
- Olson SB, Magenis RE. Preferential paternal origin of de novo structural chromosome rearrangements. In: Daniels A, (eds). Progress and topics in cytogenetics, The cytogenetics of mammalian autosomal rearrangements. New York: Liss; 1988, 585-599.
- Liu DY, Baker HW. Human sperm bound to the zona pellucida have normal nuclear chromatin as assessed by acridine orange fluorescence. Hum Reprod 2007;22(6):1597-1602.
- Hasegawa M, Wilson G, Russll LD, Meistrich ML. Radiation-induced cell death in the mouse testis: relationship with apoptosis. Radiat Res 1997;147(4): 457-467.
- Hugenholtz AP, Bruce WR. Radiation induction of mutations affecting sperm morphology in mice. Mutat Res 1983;107(1):177-185.
- Hamer G, Roepers-Gajadien HL, Gademan IS, Kal HB, De Rooij DG. Intercellular bridges and apoptosis in clones of male germ cells. Int J Androl 2003;26(6):348-353.
- Oakberg EF. Sensitivity and time of degeneration of spermatogenic cells irradiation in various stages of maturation in the mouse. Radiat Res 1995;2(4): 369-391.
- 23. Cai L, Wang P. Induction of a cytogenetic adaptive response in germ cells of irradiated mice with very low-dose rate of chronic gamma-irradiation and its biological influence on radiation induced DNA or chromosomal damage and cell killing in their male offspring. Mutagenesis 1995;10(2):95-100.
- Muller WU, Streffer C. Micronucleus assay. In: Bauchinger M, (eds). Advance in mutagenesis research. The University of Michigan: Springer-Verlag; 1990, 1-134.
- Fenech M, Morley AA. Measurement of micronuclei in lymphocytes. Mutat Res 1985;147(1-2):29-36.
- 26. Fenech M. The cytokinesis-block micronucleus technique: a detailed description of the method and its application to genotoxicity studies in human populations. Mutat Res 1993;285(1):35-44.

- 27. Fenech M, Holland N, Chang WP, Zeiger E, Bonnasi S. The Human Micronucleus Project-an international collaborative study on the use of the micronucleus technique for measuring DNA damage in humans. Mutat Res 1999;428(1-2):271-283.
- Kirsch-Volders M, Sofuni T, Aardema M, Albertini S, Eastmond D, Fenech M, et al. Report from the in vitro micronucleus assay working group. Mutat Res 2003;540(2):153-163.
- Fomenko LA, Lomaeva MG, Bezlepkin VG, Gaziev AI. Genome instability in the F1-progeny of mice irradiated by ionizing radiation as determined by micronucleus assay. Radiats Biol Radioecol 2006;46(4):431-435.
- Konopacka M, Rzeszowska-Wolny J. Modifying effect of vitamins C, E and beta-carotene against gamma-ray induced DNA damage in mouse cells. Mutat Res 1998;417(2-3):85-94.
- 31. Jacquet P, Adriaens I, Buset J, Neefs M, Vankerkom J. Cytogenetic studies in mouse oocytes irradiated in vitro at different stages of maturation by use of an early preantral follicle culture system. Mutat Res 2005;583(2):168-177.
- 32. Tusell L, Alvarez R, Caballin MR, Genesca A, Miro R, Ribas M, et al. Induction of micronuclei in human sperm-hamster egg hybrids at the two cell stage after in vitro gamma-irradiation of human spermatozoa. Environ Mol Mutagen 1995;26(4): 315-323.
- 33. Bang D, Lee J, Oh H, Kim S, Kim T, Lee Y, et al. Dose incidence relationships on the prenatal effects of gamma-radiation in mice. J Vet Sci 2002;3(17): 7-11.
- 34. Dyban AP. Reliable techniques for chromosomal preparations from mammalian oocytes and preimplantation embryos. Preimplantation genetics. New York: Plenum Press; 1991, 293-298.
- Pellestor F, Girardet A, Andreo B, Amal F, Humeau C. Relationship between morphology and chromosomal constitution in human preimplantation embryos. Mol Reprod Dev 1994;39(2):141-146.
- 36. Santalo J, Veiga A, Calafel JM, Calderon G, Vidal F, Barri PN, et al. Evaluation of cytogenetic analysis for clinical preimplantation diagnosis. Fertil Steril 1995;64(1):44-50.
- Fenech M. The in vitro micronucleus technique. Mutat Res 2000;455:81-95.
- 38. Fenech M, Chang WP, Kirsch-Volders M, Holland N, Bonassi S, Zeiger E; Human micronucleus project. Human Project: Detailed description of the scoring criteria for the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay using isolated human lymphocyte cultures. Mutat Res 2003;534(1-2):65-75.

- 39. Norpa H, Falck GC, What do human micronuclei contain? Mutagenesis 2003;18(3):221-233.
- 40. Huang CC, Lin DP, Tsao HM, Cheng TC, Liu CH, Lee MS. Sperm DNA fragmentation negatively correlates with velocity and fertilization rates but might not affect pregnancy rates. Fertil Steril 2005; 84(1):130-140.
- 41. Ardon F, Helms D, Sahin E, Bollwein H, Topfer-Petersen E, Waberski D. Chromatin-unstable boar spermatozoa have little chance of reaching oocytes in vivo. Reproduction 2008;135(4):461-470.
- 42. Barratt CL, Kirkman-Brown J. Man-made versus female-made environment-will the real capacitation please stand up? Hum Reprod Update 2006;12(1): 1-2.
- Suarez SS, Pacey AA. Sperm transport in the female reproductive tract. Hum Reprod Update 2006; 12(1):23-37.
- 44. Holt WV, Van Look KJ. Concepts in sperm heterogeneity, sperm selection and sperm competition as biological foundations for laboratory tests of semen quality. Reproduction 2004;127(5):527-535.
- Kamiguchi Y, Tateno H. Radiation- and chemicalinduced structural chromosome aberrations in human spermatozoa. Mutat Res 2002;504(1-2):183-191.
- Mastuda Y, Tobari I, Yamada T. Studies on chromosome aberrations induced in the eggs of mice fertilized in vitro after irradiation. I. Chromosome

aberrations induced in sperm after X-irradiation. Mutat Res 1985;148(1-2):113-117.

- Brezani P, Kalina I. Cytogenetic and genetic effects of continuous irradiation at a low exposure rat. Folia Biol 1980;26(5):298-303.
- Searle AG, Beechey CV. Cytogenetic effects of protracted gamma exposures from conception of male mice. Mutat Res 1982;95(1):61-68.
- 49. Cordelli E, Fresegna AM, Leter G, Eleuteri P, Spano M, Villani P. Evaluation of DNA damage in different stages of mouse spermatogenesis after testicular X irradiation. Radiat Res 2003;160(4):443-451.
- Tsuchida RS, Uchida IA. Radiation-induced chromosome aberrations in mouse spermatocytes and oocytes. Cytogenet Cell Genet 1975;14(1):1-8.
- Mozdarani H, Nazari E. Frequency of micronuclei in 4-8 cell mouse embryos generated after maternal gamma-irradiation in the presence and in the absence of vitamin C. Radiat Environ Biophys 2007; 46(4):417-422.
- 52. Mozdarani H, Salimi M. Numerical chromosome abnormalities in 8-cell embryos generated from γirradiated male mice in the absence and presence of vitamin E. Int J Radiat Biol 2006;82(11):817-822.
- 53. Meistrich ML, Hunter NR, Suzuki N, Trostle PK, Withers HR. Gradual regeneration of mouse testicular stem cells after exposure to ionizing radiation. Radiat Res 1978;74(2):349-362.